1 2 3 4 5 6	TOWN OF ANTRIM ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT ¹ 66 Main Street – PO Box 517 Antrim NH 03440 (603) 588-8337
7 8 9	Draft Minutes July 20, 2022 – 7:00 PM
9 10	Members & Planning Staff present:
11	
12 13	Diane Kendall (Chair); David Clater, Janet McEwen, and Doris (Shelly) Nelkens (Members).
14 15	Carol Ogilvie, Consultant; William Bryk, Administrative Assistant to the Land Use Boards.
16 17	Members & Planning Staff absent:
17 18 19	Michael Ott (ex-officio).
20 21	Others present:
22 23	Zander Kempf (for Antrim Commons, Applicant); Selectman Tom Davis.
24 25 26 27	CTO: 7:00 PM: The Chair called the meeting to order. As three members out of five were present, she explained to the Applicant's representatives its right to be heard by a full ZBA. The Applicant consented to be heard by a three-member panel.
28 29 30 31 32	The Chair then outlined the ZBA's rules of procedure. As the public hearing had concluded, ZBA Members may ask questions and the public may not. The Chair reopened the deliberative session for an update from Mr. Kempf. He reported that both an independent traffic consultant and DOT ² had separately agreed on traffic issues and made the same recommendations.
33 34 35 36 37	The Applicant has received a Shoreland Permit with two more permit applications pending. Mr. Kempf said that some minor technical questions have to be revisited. The Applicant had obtained an analysis of travel distance showing that the visibility from the crosswalk is a minimum of 1,000 feet.
38 39 40	The Chair asked Mr. Kempf whether he had applied for workforce housing funding. He replied that he had not.
41 42 43 44	In response to a further question, Mr. Kempf replied that he had no specific guidance from any organizations. He had reviewed information from Jaffrey and Peterborough. In speaking with the Jaffrey Town Planner about the effects of increased density on water and sewer, he learned that it had minimal effect.

¹ ZBA ² New Hampshire Department of Transportation.

45		
46	The Chair then outlined the ZBA's deliberative procedure.	
47		
48	Ms. Ogilvie discussed a 2004 Variance for a former owner of 66 Main Street. The ZBA had	
49	granted it unanimously granted for six units, not to exceed 28. The minutes of the 2004 meetin	Ig
50	led her to sense the ZBA was not concerned about any issue concerning the 2004 Application.	0
51	She emphasized that the 2004 decision is guiding, not binding.	
52		
53	The Chair recited the variances from the ZO^3 sought by the Applicant:	
54		
55	1. Art. IX.C.C(1), to allow 32 dwelling units on Lot 106 where 8.35 are allowed and 15	
56	dwelling units on Lot 106-1 where two are allowed;	
57		
58	2. Art. IX.3.A, to allow the existing front setback on Lot 106 to remain zero for the existing	ıg
59	structure and proposed parking area;	U
60		
61	3. Art. XV.B.1.A, to allow 33 parking spaces where 42 are required on Lot 106 and 17	
62	where 32 are required on Lot 106-1;	
63		
64	4. Art. XV.A.2, to allow compact car spaces of 8'x15' and 9'x15' on Lots 106 and 3 when	e
65	spaces of 9'x18' are required;	
66		
67	5. Art. XV.A.4, to allow parking spaces on Lot 3 to support the uses on Lots 106 and 106-	-1;
68		
69	6. Art. XV.A.10, to allow total parking spaces of 86 where 122 spaces are required;	
70		
71	7. Art.XV.E, to allow parking areas on Lots 3 and 106 to be closer than ten feet to the right	it-
72 72	of-way where a ten foot planting strip is required; and	
73 74	θ Art VIV C 4 to allow for a maximum lat accurace shows 40 measured an late 2, 106 and	4
74 75	8. Art. XIV.C.4, to allow for a maximum lot coverage above 40 percent on lots 3, 106, and	a
75 76	106-1 where 30 percent is allowed for multi-family and 40 percent is allowed for non-residential uses.	
76 77	residential uses.	
77 78	The Chair directed the ZBA to consider Variance #1, from Art. I (C) (C) (1), to allow 32	
78 79	dwelling units on Lot 106 where 8.35 units are allowed and 15 dwelling units on Lot 106-1	
80	where two are allowed.	
80 81		
82	Ms. McEwen requested clarification on the calculation of the number of parking lot spaces with	h
83	particular regard to ADA ⁴ compliance. She questioned whether the Applicant's assumption of	
84	turnover was well founded. She urged that parking spaces should be reserved for residents'	
85	guests.	
86		
87	The Chair observed that the ZO and the Master Plan disagree. She outlined what she saw as the	e
88	benefits of granting the Variance. She noted that a denial based upon a pre-existing density	

³ Zoning Ordinance ⁴ Americans with Disabilities Act

- 89 ordinance effectively inflicted an unnecessary hardship upon an Applicant who could only
- 90 finance new construction with tax credits used in strict compliance with State and Federal
- 91 statutes. The Chair moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve the Application as
- 92 written. The ZBA voted three in favor of the motion, none against, none abstaining.
- 93
- 94 The ZBA then considered the application for Variance #2, from Art. IX (3) (A) to allow the
- 95 existing front setback on Lot 106 to remain at zero for the existing structure and proposed
- 96 parking area. The Chair noted the existing structure's non-compliance with the present setback
- 97 requirement and that two parking spaces must be reserved for the fire connection at 42 Main
- 98 Street. Variance #2 wouldn't affect parking. The two reserved spaces are Town property. The
- 99 Chair moved and Ms. McEwen seconded a motion to approve Variance #2 as written. The ZBA
- 100 voted three in favor of the motion, none against, none abstaining.
- 101
- 102 The ZBA then considered the application for Variance #3 from Art. XV (B) (1) (A), to allow 33
- 103 parking spaces where 42 are required on Lot 106 and 17 spaces where 32 are required on Lot
- 104 106-1. Ms. McEwen said that all residential parking issues for Antrim Commons must be
- 105 clarified before the ZBA approves the Application. She particularly does not want residents
- 106 crossing Main Street at all hours.
- 107
- 108 The Chair noted the requirements of ZO Article XV, Off Street Parking, (3) (B) (1) (a), which
- states in pertinent part: "All residential units shall have two (2) parking spaces per unit." She
- 110 explained that the project is not viable with strict compliance with ZO (3) (B) (1) (a) is required.
- 111 Ms. McEwen said that the Applicant's calculations of parking spaces are open to dispute. The
- 112 Chair said that the number of parking spaces assigned each unit may be a condition of its lease.
- 113 Ms. McEwen felt this was unrealistic.
- 114
- Mr. Kempf said all his developments have limited parking. Every residential lease at the AntrimCommons will limit the number of parking spaces assigned to that unit.
- 117
- 8:00 PM. Selectman Davis left the meeting. Ms. McEwen noted 36 spaces on the site plan and
 permit application. She asked, "What if (Antrim Commons) couldn't make them work?"
- 120
- 121 The Chair asked for the total number of parking spaces required for the Applicant's properties,
- 122 including the number of parking spaces for Map/Lot #104.106.001, the older building west of the
- 123 Great Brook with doors at the north and south ends.
- 124
- 125 The Chair noted very close quarters there for parking, given the probable parking needs of the
- 126 staff and vehicles of MaineLine Graphics at 1 High Street. However, she said, site plan review
- 127 and firefighting access are issues within the Planning Board's jurisdiction. The Chair said the
- 128 ZBA decided what could happen; the Planning Board's Site Plan Review determines whether129 those determinations are Code-compliant.
- 129 t 130
- 131 Ms. McEwen suggested the following condition for the Variances: the lease for a studio
- apartment limits it to one parking space. It is impossible to fit 42/32 cars into the available lots.
- 133

- 134 8:12 PM: The Chair suggested that the Application for Variance #3 be granted with the
- 135 condition that lease agreements expressly state that studio and one bedroom apartments are
- 136 entitled to one parking space and two bedroom apartments are entitled to two parking spaces.
- 137 Any overflow may be covered by three extra spaces at 42 Main Street. The condition is
- 138 premised on all residential parking located on the west side of Main Street. After brief
- 139 conversation among the Members, the Chair withdrew her motion on Variance #3 pending the 140 outcomes of the other Applications.
- 141
- 142 8:20 PM: The ZBA discussed Variance #7 from Art. XV (E), to allow parking areas on Lots 3 143 and 106 to be closer than ten feet to the right-of-way where a ten foot planting strip is presently
- 144 required. Planning a grassy strip to delineate parking areas will make it safer. But a ten foot
- 145 grassy barrier would eliminate at least eight spaces. The Chair moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve the application for Variance #7 as written. The ZBA voted in
- 146 147 favor of the motion, three in favor, none against, none abstaining.
- 148
- 149 8:40 PM: The Chair moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve the application for
- 150 Variance #8 as written. The Chair noted that the Variance was not contrary to the public interest
- 151 and the value of the property is not diminished. Strict adherence to the ZO would work a
- 152 hardship upon the Applicant. The ZBA voted in favor of the motion, three in favor, non against, 153 none abstaining.
- 154

155 8:45 PM: Ms. McEwen moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve the application 156 for Variance #5 from Art. XV (A) (4) to allow parking spaces on Lot 3 to support the uses on Lots 106 and 106-1 as written. The ZBA voted three in favor of the motion, none against, none 157 158 abstaining.

159

160 8:50 PM: Ms. McEwen moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve a special

161 exception from Art. XV (A) (10), to allow total parking spaces of 86 where 122 spaces are

162 required The ZBA voted three in favor of the motion, none against, none abstaining.

163

164 8:55 PM: The Chair moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve the application for

- 165 Variance #4 from Art. XV (A) (2) to allow compact car parking spaces of 8'x15' and 9'x15' on
- 166 Lots 106 and 3 where spaces of $9^{\circ}x18^{\circ}$ are required. It was noted that a truck's tail is likely to
- 167 project over the grass verge at the edge of the parking lot, meaning the truck will not occupy
- 168 more space than a compact car. The dispersal of vehicles among the parking spaces is a question
- 169
- for the on-site building management. The Chair recited the criteria for granting a Variance and 170 said that the Applicant had met them: delineated spaces help tenants know where they can park.
- 171 The ZBA voted three in favor of the motion, none against, none abstaining.
- 172
- 173 9:00 PM: The Chair moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to approve the application for
- 174 Variance #3 from Art. XV (B) (1) (A) to allow 33 parking spaces where 42 are required on Lot
- 175 106 and 17 where 32 are required on Lot 106-1 with the condition that the leases give the right to
- 176 one parking space to studio and one bedroom apartments and two parking spaces to two bedroom
- 177 apartments. The Chair held granting this Application addressed a special condition and provided
- 178 substantial justice to the Applicant. She stated that the Board of Selectmen may create parking

- restrictions on Main Street. The ZBA voted three in favor of the motion, none against, noneabstaining.
- 181
- 182 The Chair thanked the ZBA members and land use staff for swiftly completing the application183 process.
- 184
- 185 9:10 PM: Ms. McEwen moved and Ms. Nelkens seconded a motion to adjourn. By voice vote,
- all Members present voted for the motion. The Chair then adjourned the meeting.
- 187
- 188 Respectfully submitted,
- 189 William Bryk
- 190 Administrative Assistant to the Land Use Boards
- 191
- 192
- 193
- 194
- 195

.

- 196
- 197
- 198